UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/764,330	01/23/2004	Michael P. Cooke	P1097US10	5772	
	7590 11/16/2007 NSTITUTE OF THE		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NOVARTIS RESEARCH FOUNDATION			JUEDES, AMY E		
SAN DIEGO, (AY HOPKINS DRIVE, S CA 92121-1127	UITE E225	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1644		
			•		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			11/16/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IPLegal@gnf.org jclarke@gnf.org

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/764,330	COOKE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Amy E. Juedes, Ph.D.	1644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA SIN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timusely and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Sec</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 12,14-16,28-32 and 39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12,14-16, 28-32, and 39 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) the mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) the No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/764,330 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed 9/7/07 in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/14/07 has been entered.

Claims 12, 16, 28-30, and 32 have been amended.
Claims 17-27 and 33-38 have been cancelled.
Claim 39 has been added.
Claims 12, 14-16, 28-32, and 39 are pending and are under examination.

- 2. The rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment to the claims.
- 3. The rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 102 is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment to the claims. Specifically, da Silva et al. do not teach testing the agent for its ability to inhibit T lymphocyte development at the double positive stage.
- 4. The rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph for lack of written description is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment to the claims.
- 5. The following are new grounds of rejection.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12, 14-16, 28-32, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A) Claim 12 is drawn to a method for identifying an agent that inhibits T lymphocyte development. Step a) of the method is assaying IP3KB in the presence of a test agent. This might

Application/Control Number: 10/764,330

Art Unit: 1644

encompass any type of assay. For example, the claims might encompass assaying binding of a test agent to IP3KB in a cell free system or assaying IP3KB biological activity in the The claims might even encompass presence of a test agent. assays that have no relationship to the function of IP3KB, for example assaying the color or viscosity of IP3KB. However, step b) of the claimed method recites identifying an agent that inhibits cellular level of kinase activity of IP3KB. unclear how any type of assay, as recited in claim 1, would result in the identification of an agent that inhibits cellular levels of kinase activity. For example, the claims might encompass assaying the binding of a test agent to IP3KB in a cell free system in step a), but this would not necessarily result in the identification of an agent that inhibits the "cellular level of kinase activity" of IP3KB, as recited in step b). Furthermore, step c) of the claimed method recites testing the agent for ability to inhibit T lymphocyte development at the double positive stage, thereby identifying an agent that inhibits the production of mature T lymphocytes. However, the preamble of the claim recites identifying agents that inhibit T lymphocyte development (i.e. any type of T cell development, including, for example, the "development" of a Th1 T cell response). It is unclear how the claimed method could be used to identify agents that inhibit other types of T cell development, other than the production of mature T lymphocytes from double positive T cells.

Page 3

- B) Claim 12 recites the limitation "the cellular level of kinase activity" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- C) Claim 14 is indefinite, since it broadens the scope of independent claim 12. Claim 14 recites identifying an agent that inhibits kinase activity of IP3KB. However, claim 12 is already limited to identifying agents that inhibit the "cellular level" of kinase activity of IP3KB.
- D) Claims 30 and 32 are indefinite in the recitation of an agent that decreases cellular levels of IP3KB, or inhibits expression of the gene encoding IP3KB. It is unclear how the ability to inhibit cellular levels or gene expression is related to the method of independent claim 12. Typically, a particular type of agent (for example, a small molecule) might inhibit kinase activity, while a distinct class of agent might inhibit gene expression (for example, anti-sense RNA). Are the instant

Application/Control Number: 10/764,330 Page 4

Art Unit: 1644

claims intended to mean that the identified agents are those that are capable of simultaneously inhibiting both kinase activity of IP3KB and gene expression of IP3KB? If so, it is unclear what type of agent would be capable of inhibiting such diverse processes as enzymatic activity of a protein, as well as transcription of a nucleic acid molecule.

7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 12, 14-16, 28-32, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as the specification does not contain a written description of the claimed invention, in that the disclosure does not reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s) had possession of the claimed invention at the time the application was filed. This is a new matter rejection.

The specification and the claims as originally filed do not provide support for the invention as now claimed, specifically:

- A) A method comprising identifying agents that inhibit the "cellular level of kinase activity" of IP3KB (Claim 12, and dependant claims 14-16, 28-32, and 39).
- B) A method comprising testing an agent for "ability to inhibit T lymphocyte development in the thymus" (Claim 39).

Applicant indicates that support for the new limitations can be found on pages 4 and 5 of the specification.

A review of the specification fails to reveal support for the new limitations.

Regarding A), at pages 4-5, the specification discloses, examining test agents for their ability to modulate a cellular activity of IP3KB, and particularly discloses identifying agents that inhibit IP3KB kinase activity or reduce its cellular level. Thus, while the specification discloses identifying an agent that inhibits the cellular level of IP3KB, or the kinase

Art Unit: 1644

activity of IP3KB, it does not disclose identifying an agent that inhibits the "cellular level" of kinase activity.

Regarding B), at pages 20-21, the specification discloses, testing agents for ability to modulate T lymphocyte development using thymic stromal cells, or administering an agent to an animal that harbors an IP3KB followed by analyzing the thymic cells. However, these specific examples doe not provide adequate support for the more broad recitation of testing agents for ability to inhibit T lymphocyte development "in the thymus". For example, the instant claims might encompass injecting an agent into an isolated thymus.

- 8. No claim is allowed.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy E. Juedes, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-4471. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am 5pm, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571-272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Amy E. Juedes, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600

G.R.EWOLDT, PH.D.